

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	E FIRST NAME	INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,388	05/03/200	l Isabelle	Afriat	205731US0	6489
22850	7590 117	25/2002			
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC				EXAMINER	
FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON, VA 22202				HAGHIGHATIAN, MINA	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1616	
				DATE MAILED: 11/25/2002	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/847,388	AFRIAT, ISABELLE				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mina Haghighatian	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applicate a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. 						
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-45</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)⊡ approved or b)⊡ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		-				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: arguments are not persuasive. Applicant is reminded that "for treating greasy skim" ia an intended use recitation and is not support for patentability. Also it is noted that claim1 is a formulation including fibers an an active agent, which is clearly met by the prior art of record. Applicant is also reminded that properties of components are inherent and regardless of what they are called they will perform trhe same in the same composition. In this case chlorhexidine can act as a preservative and an anesthetic. Threfore it meets the limitations of the instant claims.

MICHAEL G. HARTLEY PRIMARY EXAMINER